Appl. No. 10/782,601 Amdt. Dated February 27, 2008 Reply to Office Action of November 25, 2005

FEB-27-2006 15:56 FROM:

Docket No. CM06694H Customer No. 22917

TO: USPTO

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants have amended Claims 1, 4-7, 9, 11, 14, 23-24 and 26 and have cancelled Claims 10 and 20. No new matter was added by these amendments. Claims 1-9, 11-19 and 21-26 remain in this application. Applicants respectfully request the reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and these remarks and arguments.

The Examiner has rejected: Claims 1-5, 9-10 and 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsirtsis (US 2004/0148428 A1); Claims 6-8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsirtsis, in view of Perkins, et al. (Route Optimization in Mobile IP), hereafter referred to as Perkins; and Claims 11-22 and 26 under the same rationale as Claims 1-10 and 25 stating the Claims 11-22 and 26 are corresponding method claims of method claims 1-10 and 25. Applicants have cancelled Claims 10 and 20, thereby, rendering moot the Examiner's rejection of these claims. Applicants traverse the remaining rejections.

Applicants have amended all of the independent Claims 1, 11, 23 and 24 to recite a "mobile router" in these claims. Tsirtsis has no teachings regarding mobile routers. Therefore, Tsirtsis does not anticipate Claims 1-5, 9, 11-19 and 21-26. With further regard to Claim 6-8, the Perkins reference cited by the Examiner fails to cure the deficiencies of the Tsirtsis reference in that the Perkins reference also fails to address mobile routers. Therefore, the combined teachings of the Tsirtsis and Perkins references do not render obvious Claims 6-8.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

Appl. No. 10/782,601 Amdt. Dated February 27, 2006 Reply to Office Action of November 25, 2005 Docket No. CM06694H Customer No. 22917

TO: USPTO

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc.
Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc. 1303 East Algonquin Road IL01/3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Schaumburg, IL 60196 Customer Number: 22917 Valerie M. Davis
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 50,203

Telephone:847-576-6733 Fax No.: 847-576-0721